UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

| Case No. | 23-43151 | M | Date | August 24, 2023 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Title | United S | tates v. Cantor-Aquino | - | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Present: The Honorable Michael R. Wilner | | | | | | |
| James Muñoz | | | n/a | | | |
| | Dep | uty Clerk Court R | Court Reporter / Recorder | | | |
| Atte | sent for Government: Attorneys | Present | for Defendant: | | | |
| | | n/a | n/a | a | | |
| Proceedin | gs: | ORDER OF DETENTION – SUPERVISED | RELEA | ASE ALLEGATION | | |
| The Court conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a) following Defendant's arrest for alleged violation(s) of the terms of Defendant's □ probation / ⋈ supervised release. | | | | | | |
| The Court finds that Defendant has not carried his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)] that Defendant: | | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | will appear for further proceedings as required | if releas | sed. | | |
| | | will not endanger the safety of any other person released. | or the | community if | | |
| The Court bases its findings on the following: | | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Allegations in petition | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Lack of bail resources | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | No stable residence or employment | | | | |
| | | Ties to foreign countries | | | | |
| | | Previous failure to appear or violations of proba | ation, p | arole, or release | | |
| | | Nature of previous criminal convictions | | | | |
| | | Substance abuse | | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

| Case No. | 23-4315M | | August 24, 2023 | | | |
|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Title | United States v. Cantor-Aquino | | | | | |
| | □ Already in custody on state or federal offense □ Refusal to interview with Pretrial Services □ | | | | | |
| | Defendant did not oppose the detention request. | | | | | |
| | * * * | | | | | |

* * *

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings.